

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 8201 09/519,605 03/06/2000 Peter C P Sun WC99-001 EXAMINER 7590 01/22/2004 WALKER & SAKO,LLP ODLAND, DAVID E 300 SOUTH FIRST STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 235 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 2662 DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7 y	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/519,605	SUN, PETER CP	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Odland	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		•
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: see continuation sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .		·	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10.⊠ Other: <u>see continuation sheet</u>			•
	St.	Parks HNPEZZLO	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	PRIM/	ARY EXAMINED	

Application/Control Number: 09/519,605

Art Unit: 2662

Continuation of Part 2A: Continuation of 2a: The applicant has amended claims 1 and 6 to recite that the telephone network is 'primarily intended for telephony' and that the call detection is done 'by control of the first VDM', respectively. However, these claims will not be entered because they raise new issues and would require new considerations and/or an updated search of the prior art. Furthermore, a brief review of the claim 1 amendment and the prior art of record show that the primary reference Baratz still anticipated the claimed invention. Specifically, the amended limitation recited that the telephone network is primarily intended for telephony. The term 'telephony', as described in *Newton's Telecom Dictionary 12th Edition* (see Appendix A for attached copy), defines 'telephony', *inter alia*, as merely transmitting a voice, video and data over far distances through the use of telephones and/or computers. Since Baratz teaches of such a system (see figure 1), Baratz still anticipates the proposed amendment to claim 1.

Continuation of part 10: The Applicant has amended claims 3 and 7 to overcome the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejections. However, the recited amendments are still not adequately described in the specification. Specifically, the specification does not adequately describe the definition of 'Ethernet tokens'. The Specification merely describes that the Ethernet Tokens are used in a 'Token over Ethernet Protocol' (TEP). However, no such protocol is adequately described in the specification or is known in the art. Tokens are known in the art to be used primarily in ring or loop type networks wherein when each node of the ring receives the Token, it is given permission to communicate over the network and when such communication is finished it passes the Token to the next node in the ring. This method is used to prevent packet collisions and contention among nodes for use of the communications links of the network.

Application/Control Number: 09/519,605

Art Unit: 2662

Ethernet, as is known in the art, operates in the opposite fashion. Namely, in Ethernet networks, nodes can transmit packets onto the network communication links at-will. Such networks experience packet collisions and node contention and to compensate for this the networks employ Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD). Since Ethernet and Token passing protocols are intrinsically different from one another, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to recognize how TEP could be implemented nor does the specification adequately disclose such a protocol.

Page 3